

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|-----------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/051,210 01/16/2002 | | Thomas William Wielkopolski | 741004.1005 | 7961 |
| 21831 | 7590 06/26 | 003 | | |
| | RG & RASKIN, P. | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| | UE OF THE AMER 4, NY 10036-5803 | ICAS, 15th FLOOR | DEPUMPO, DANIEL G | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3611 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 06/26/2003 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Office Action Summary

Application No. 10/051,210 Applicant(s)

Wielkopolski

Examiner

Daniel G. DePumpo

Art Unit 3611

| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Period for Reply | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. | | | | | | | |
| - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. | | | | | | | |
| - If NO p - Failure - Any rej | eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply withing a reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, causely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ply and will expire SIX se the application to b | (6) MONTH ecome ABA | HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) 💢 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jan 16, 2 | 2002 | | | | | |
| 2a) □ | This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This act | tion is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) 🗆 | 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) 💢 | Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> | | | is/are pending in the application. | | | |
| 4 | a) Of the above, claim(s) | | | is/are withdrawn from consideratio | | | |
| 5) 🗆 | Claim(s) | •• | | is/are allowed. | | | |
| 6) 🗆 | Claim(s) | | | is/are rejected. | | | |
| | Claim(s) | | | | | | |
| | Claims 1-19 | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are a accepted or b objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| 11) | The proposed drawing-correction filed on | is | : a D | approved by disapproved by the Examine | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | | |
| 12) | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam | iner. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | |
| 2 | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | | |
| *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). | | | | | | | |
| a) U The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| | ent(s) ice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Sur | nmarv (PT) | 0-413) Paper No(s). | | | |
| _ | ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | _ | • | nt Application (PTO-152) | | | |
| 3) 🗌 Info | ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | 6) Other: | | | | | |

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/051,210

Art Unit:

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

I fig. 1

II fig. 4.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim appears to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/051,210

Art Unit:

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel G. DePumpo whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

DÁNIEL G. DePUMPO PRIMARY EXAMINER

dgd

June 23, 2003